Calley Hostad, Kirsten Boeh, Lizzy Machado, Molly Eldridge, Tina Aiello, Jack Bartelt, Dwayne Burt n, Robin Cork, Latrice Harris-Collins, Sarah Kazlauskas, Yvonne Printz, John Sweeney, and Karli Webster

Brigid Alba, Yasi Blackmon, Anna Borchardt, Coreen Bukowski, Jodi Cherney, Irene Cvet ch, Paul Dion, Sherrie Dorf , Sally Doyle, Cliona Draper, Melissa Econom, Kalyn Gackowski, Amy Kaboskey, Michelle Kasbohm, Lizzie Kerrick, Sheila Kershek, Kat e Klamecki, Tia Langes, Sherri Lex, Jordan Mason, Tammy Meyers, Lori Montezon, Karen Nest, Kim Poehlman, Pat y Przybylka, Julie Radford, Ariel Roche, Ritu Sachdev, Kiley Sturgeon, Car A ntn& ath a ath

а

- 7. Our outlook is that we are \$9.5 million short of the 3% target which was established to maintain a posit on of health now and in the future, we made changes this year to reach that target.
  - 1. We asked units to adjust their spending.
  - 2. That money is spread throughout the university. There is no plan to cut posit ons or merit.
  - 3. Every unit will do something diferent to lower spending.
  - 4. We feel everyone has a path to achieve this goal.
- 8. We complete a budget around October for the following f scal year.
  - 1. The budget we are in this year was approved last year (2022) In Fall, 2023) we had more clarity around being short of our targets.
- 9. The healthy discussion before does not mean everything is fine and great, still market pressures, we should be happy and grateful about our position. In the same way, the \$9.5 million deficit doesn't mean we should despair.
- 10.

- 1. The consequence would be we don't achieve our target to create addit onal resources to invest in the university (e.g.-wellness center).
- 7. What was the cause of this short all?
  - 1. Inf at on was budgeted but our est mates were not enough to cover the impact, as well as the other reasons noted earlier. Cost increases for tuit on or room & board didn't occur at the same t me.
- 8.

- -Can we match compensat on to inf at on?
- 1. It's a fair ask but it's a hard ask.
- 2. We can't raise tuit on 5% each year, we want Marquet e to be accessible, we are constantly challenged with how much to charge students and families.
- 3. Previous years we only increased 2 or 0%
- 4. Our largest expense is people, our revenue is suf cient to support pay increases.
- 5. From a strategic planning perspect ve, one of our priorit es is staf wellness.
  - 1. We've been going through a staf compensat on study, staf compensat on is a priority, and we are looking to fund that. When the study fully completes, it will be a mult year process to implement, and we'll phase in different amounts.
  - 2. How we deploy this will be strategic. Compensat on differs widely across campus, and we will address the most impact ull areas first
  - 3. Every job at Marquet e will have a range, we will aim towards the most egregious short alls f rst. It won't be everyone all at once; there are some roles that are below the range.
- Will there be a merit increase?
- 1. There will be a merit pool for this year.
- 2. I don't want to be too redundant, we are commit ed to a merit pool, there is a shared desire to increase the pool, but we have to look at everything at the university. Everyone is at a very different spot, the solut on isn't to provide a blanket increase, we also have

9.

2. Robin-